



METEORITES

REVIEW

MANUSCRIPT No.:

TITLE:

AUTHORS:

Please return a copy of your opinion on the enclosed manuscript within four weeks. If you are unable to review the manuscript on any kind of reason, please return it immediately without comment.

Please, answer each question. Detailed comments should be made on a separate sheet. Minor comments may be made on the manuscript.

REVIEWER'S REPORT

CRITERIA	HIGHEST			LOWEST	
	5	4	3	2	1
1. Is this subject suitable for publication in <i>Meteorites</i> ?	<input type="checkbox"/>				
2. What is the quality of the presentation and organization?	<input type="checkbox"/>				
3. Is the abstract a concise and adequate summary of the paper?	<input type="checkbox"/>				
4. Is proper credit given to related work?	<input type="checkbox"/>				
5. Are all tables and figures necessary?	<input type="checkbox"/>				
6. Are the names of relevant/used meteorites approved and proper?	<input type="checkbox"/>				
7. What is the quality of the data and interpretation?	<input type="checkbox"/>				
8. Are the conclusions clear, proper, and adequate for discussion?	<input type="checkbox"/>				

Do you recommend this paper for publication in *Meteorites*?

___ YES ___ YES, after minor revision ___ YES, after major revision ___ NO

Do you wish to be identified as the author of this review? ___ YES ___ NO

.....
DATE

.....
SIGNATURE

COMMENTS:

Please continue on next page(s)